

TRACKING THE FIELD




2019 Scan of the Field

Palliative & Serious Illness Care Grantmaking


FOUNDATIONS RESPONDED



GEOGRAPHIC FOCUS OF FUNDING




FINANCIAL COMMITMENT OVER PAST 5 YEARS



STRATEGIES INCLUDE FOCUS ON RACIAL EQUITY



YEARS OF GRANTMAKING



Better health through better philanthropy

1100 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20036 T 202.452.8331

Support provided by grants from The John A. Hartford Foundation, The Fan Fox and Leslie R. Samuels Foundation, Stupski Foundation, and Gary and Mary West Foundation.

TOP FUNDER INTERESTS

#1

ACCESS TO HIGH QUALITY, AFFORDABLE, COST-EFFECTIVE CARE

Funders focused much of this work on low income, vulnerable, and/or under-resourced communities and populations.

#2

CARE AND QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER ADULTS

Funders typically viewed palliative and serious illness care to improve care and quality of life for older adults, with several including it as a strategy to help older adults age in community.


#3

PALLIATIVE, HOSPICE, AND END-OF-LIFE CARE PROGRAMS AND INTERVENTIONS


Funders specified that educating and supporting health care providers was the primary funding strategy of this work.

Funding **PATIENT-CENTERED CARE**, often with attention to seriously ill persons, and **FAMILY CAREGIVING** were also common frameworks.


HOW FOUNDATIONS ADDRESS SERIOUS ILLNESS CARE




IMPROVING HEALTH CARE QUALITY




CONNECTING PATIENTS WITH SOCIAL SUPPORTS




PROVIDER EDUCATION




PATIENT-CENTERED CARE




WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT




PALLIATIVE CARE




END-OF-LIFE CONVERSATIONS



FAMILY CAREGIVING



COMPLEX OR HIGH COST/NEEDS PATIENTS



POLICY ADVOCACY

TOP 10 ISSUES OR STRATEGIES OF INTEREST TO FUNDERS

47%

CONNECTING PATIENTS WITH SOCIAL SUPPORTS

44%

POLICY ADVOCACY

35%

PALLIATIVE CARE

35%

FAMILY CAREGIVING

32%

COMPLEX OR HIGH COST/NEEDS PATIENTS

32%

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

32%

IMPROVING HEALTH CARE QUALITY

26%

PROVIDER EDUCATION

26%

SOCIAL ISOLATION

26%

CHRONIC CARE MANAGEMENT